

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CUMMISSION 2 **COMMISSIONERS** Arizona Corporation Commission 3 GARY PIERCE - Chairman DOCKETED **BOB STUMP** 4 SANDRA D. KENNEDY JUN - 5 2012 PAUL NEWMAN 5 **BRENDA BURNS** DOCKETED BY 6 DOCKET NO. W-20589A-08-0173 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF RIDGELINE WATER COMPANY, L.L.C. FOR A 7 CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND DECISION NO. 73225 NECESSITY FOR WATER SERVICE. 8 ORDER EXTENDING TIME **DEADLINE CONTAINED IN** 9 **DECISION NO. 70748** 10 Open Meeting May 22 and 23, 2012 11 Phoenix, Arizona 12 BY THE COMMISSION: 13 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the 14 Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that: 15 FINDINGS OF FACT 16 In Decision No. 70748 (February 12, 2009) the Commission granted an Order 1. 17 Preliminary to the issuance of a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") to Ridgeline 18 Water Company, L.L.C. ("Ridgeline" or "Company") for authorization to provide water service to 19 Ridgeline Estates. This development will consist of 136 single family homes on four-to-five acre 20 lots, located near Madera Canyon, south of Tucson in Pima County. The developer of the project is 21 Pollux Properties, L.L.C. ("Pollux"), which formed the Company to provide water to Ridgeline 22

23

24

25

Estates.1

2. Pursuant to the Order Preliminary, Ridgeline is required to comply with five conditions within three years of the effective date of the Decision before the Company may request the Commission to issue a CC&N.² Specifically, the Company has to file: 1) a public utility license

26

¹ Decision No. 70748, page 3.
² In its Motion for Extension of Compliance Filing Date Deadline, Ridgeline states that under the Order Preliminary it was required to comply with six conditions precedent to the issuance of a CC&N. However, the sixth condition noted by the Company (relating to best management practices) was not a condition precedent for the issuance of the CC&N.

²⁷²⁸

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

agreement from Pima County; 2) documents related to Pollux's financial structure; 3) a curtailment tariff; 4) a backflow tariff; and 5) a copy of the Pima County Department of Environmental Quality Approval to Construct ("ATC") for water system facilities needed to serve Ridgeline Estates.³ Based on the effective date of the Decision, these five compliance items were due to be filed with the Commission no later than February 12, 2012. The Decision also states that if Ridgeline fails to comply with these terms, this Order Preliminary will be deemed null and void, and Staff must file a memorandum to close the docket.⁴ The Decision further states that if the Company meets the Order Preliminary conditions and the Commission issues a CC&N, there are additional compliance requirements that must be met. Failure to meet these terms "will render the [CC&N] null and void, after due process, unless the Commission grants an extension of time for compliance with those requirements".5

- 3. On December 2, 2011, Ridgeline filed with the Commission a Motion for Extension of Compliance Filing Deadline ("Motion") requesting an extension of time to file the ATC.
- 4. According to the Motion, the 2008 downturn of the real estate market negatively affected the timing of Ridgeline Estates' development; nevertheless, Pollux has continued to move forward with the project as best as it is able given the current economic environment. Ridgeline notes that the Pollux has obtained the necessary easements for the placement of underground utility facilities and has been working with the Arizona State Land Department to obtain the needed ingress and egress easements.⁶ Attached to the Motion is a letter from Pollux supporting the Motion and stating that it intends to complete the project and still desires that Ridgeline serve as the water utility provider for the development.⁷ The Company notes that it has complied with four of the five compliance conditions, leaving only the ATC filing outstanding.⁸
- 5. Accordingly, Ridgeline requests that the Commission grant its Motion and extend the time for filing the required ATC for the water system facilities necessary to provide water service to

²⁶ Decision No 70748, pages 25-26. Id., page 26.

Id., page 30. 27

Motion, pages 2-3.

Motion, Attachment A. Motion, page 2.

Ridgeline Estates from February 12, 2012, to February 12, 2014.9

2 3

4 5

6

7 8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

28

Motion, page 3.

On April 10, 2012, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Staff 6. Report confirming that the Company has met the conditions of the Order Preliminary except for the ATC filing requirement.

In the Staff Report, Staff stated, "[A]lthough extensions of time are not typical in 7. situations in which an Order Preliminary is granted by the Commission, Decision No. 70748 does not specifically consider the possibility of an extension of time in this matter." Staff noted, however, pursuant to the Decision, the Company's failure to comply with stated the terms and conditions of the Decision would render the CC&N null and void unless an extension of time for compliance is granted. 11 Based on the information provided by the Company, Staff recommended approval of Ridgeline's Motion to extend the ATC filing deadline from February 12, 2012, to February 12, 2014. Staff also recommended that no further compliance extensions should be granted. 12

Under the terms of the Decision, Ridgeline's failure to file the ATC by February 12, 8. 2012, would render the Order Preliminary null and void. The Decision does not contemplate an extension of the Order Preliminary to the issuance of a CC&N, nor does it state that it cannot be extended, although, as noted by Staff, extensions of time to comply with Order Preliminary requirements are not typical.

9. We note that, in this instance, the circumstances impeding Pollux's ability to move forward with the development, and therefore Ridgeline's ability to file the ATC, are out of the entities' control. The Company has timely complied with four of the five conditions to the Order Preliminary and the information provided by Ridgeline demonstrates that the developers are continuing to move forward with Ridgeline Estates as best they are able in the current economic climate. We note that there are no other water companies in Ridgeline Estates' vicinity that might provide water service to the development, ¹⁴ so there is no detriment to extending the Order

DECISION NO. 73225

²⁶ Staff Report, page 2. This portion of the Decision applies once the Commission has issued the CC&N; not to the conditions precedent to the

²⁷ Staff Report, page 2. ¹³ Decision No. 70748, page 26.

¹⁴ Decision No. 70748, page 3.

Preliminary at this time. However, we agree with Staff that there should be no further extensions of the conditions to the Order Preliminary. Given the circumstances, and based on the information provided by the Company, we 10. find that Staff's recommendations are reasonable and we adopt them. **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW** Ridgeline is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the 1. Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§40-281 and 40-282. The Commission has jurisdiction over Ridgeline and the subject matter of the Motion 2. for extension for time to comply with Commission Decision No. 70748. 3. Staff's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted.

2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

COMM. KENNEDY

COMMISSIONER

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 **ORDER**

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Ridgeline Water Company, L.L.C.'s Motion for extension of time to comply with Decision No. 70748 is granted, extending the Order Preliminary to the issuance of a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity compliance deadline for filing the Pima County Department of Environmental Quality Approval to Construct to February 12, 2014.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no further extensions of the conditions to the Order Preliminary to the issuance of a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity shall be granted, absent extraordinary circumstances.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.

EXCUSED COMM NEWMAN

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, ERNEST G. JOHNSON, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this day of June

ERNEST G. JOHNSON EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

5

DISSENT _____

DISSENT

73225 DECISION NO.

i		
1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	RIDGELINE WATER COMPANY, L.L.C.
2	DOCKET NO.:	W-20589A-08-0173
3		
4	Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr. ATTORNEY AT LAW	
5	P. O. Box 1448 Tubac, AZ 85646	
6	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel	
7	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	ON.
8	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007	<i>5</i> 1 (
9		
10	Steven M. Olea, Director Utilities Division	ONI
11	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIC 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007	JIN
12	Phoenix, AZ 85007	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	,	
25		